

Practice-Based Knowledge Management Maturity Model

## Practice-Based KM Maturity Model

Assessment Focus		Level I Awareness	Level II Evolving	Level III Operational	Level IV Sustaining
People /Culture	<u></u> ಂಲ್ತಿ		Growing interest in K-sharing and collaborating driven by businesschallenges at leadership and workforce level; CoPs forming K-sharing within units and personal networks is evident and increasing, but less so acrossboundaries K-sharing is not part of work flow and project planning Trust limited to people one knows Organizational Learning is viewed as necessary for improved performance Behavior change and engagement is focused on WIIFM	Peopleknow "who knows what" and can find those "who know" Trust extends beyond one's personal network; people are not afraid to say "I don't know" and ask for help K is viewed as a shared resource	
Process	ו•	None or limited, codified business/opprocesses KM is not viewed as a distinct and explicit business process No or limited formal KM processes for capture, sense making, retention, reuse; Learning before, during, after work (LBDA) is accomplished does not exist Lack of awareness or understanding about what is critical and relevant K "At risk" knowledge is not defined or identified	Some high level business/op processes are codified Value of and understanding of KM as a business process to support continuous perf improvement increasing K-capture and sense making as part of workflow used to improve processes (LBDA techniques are being implemented) K-mapping may be accomplished Recognition of value of K and impact of "at risk" K-loss to operations from workforce turnover is a growing concern High friction of K-transfer	Hi-level business/operational processes are codified; used as baselines for performance improvement and for training LBDA is part of project planning and operations K-mapping is part of understanding the "use and flow" of knowledge Active review and risk assessment of workforce turnover/K-loss impacts KM guides and templates exist and are easily accessible Process execution, learning from the process, and training on the process are a ligned -"Operationalizing Learning" Relationship between knowledge processes and innovation is clear Low friction of K-transfer	The latest know-how, know-why, and experience is routinely embedded in strategy development, management, and processes/operations  LBDA is part of routine ops (practice and process; part of work flow AND viewed as a "risk mitigator" Process, execution of process and learning, and training on the processare aligned  Organization possesses clearly defined standard business and KM processes across the organization  Friction of K-transfer is minimal
Enabling Technology & Tools	<b>(3</b> )	possesses low-functioning/low use KM technology and tools No central collaboration or content management platform Existing enabling technology/tools have evolved and do not a lign with user requirements or use cases Search/prowseneither intuitive nor easy unlessyou	Organization possesses incomplete or disconnected tech/tools for K capture, content management, and access/findability Central collaboration or content management platform may exist but is poorly used due to functionality challengesor incomplete/no training Search/browse is a challenge; IT is working on solutions but is incomplete Ad hoc work arounds are developed as needs and complaints increase Systems view of IT and KM is evolving KM is visible on the intranet with evolving, supporting content	Feedback process enables evolving requirements development aligned with organization change	Central collaboration or content management platform provides 24/7 support for the "use and flow" of knowledge within/across the organization KM toolset design evolves based on user feedback and evolving KM practices People and their collective knowledge are secure, K is highly visible, and easily accessible and reusable Tool set includes but is not limited to expertise location Search is optimized
Content		No standard structure for content identification, storing, sharing, or contribution Content integrity: version control is poor, duplicative content Content is often stored on HDDs, desktops, or limited access shared drives Content is "not owned" Expertise location/mapping does not exist K generation in form Knowledge Products & Services (KPS) is not a critical component of organization objectives or a response to special needs and requirements Contribution to the K-base is limited or ad hoc Taxonomy is non-existent or poorly maintained leading to an in ability to find needed K effectively Tacit knowledge remains "tacit"	Some content storing, sharing, or contribution mechanisms exist that are segmented across lines of business. However, some content is considered to be outdated and/or duplicative Some K-generation and KPS development is evident as value of K increæses Value of making K "visible" within/across the organization is drivinig policy changes on content ID and storing /Sharing K Limited or "local" unit taxonomies may exist, developed as an ad hoc approach to content management during organization evolution Explicit K is better managed (validated), but tacit remains "tacit" shared only F2F upon request K-mapping IDs community or high level K by subject; minimal people location	control is relatively consistent     Captured (tacit) knowledge is distilled and	Content is searchable, findable, accessible, downloadable and reusable from anywhere/anytime     Organization possesses a clearly defined suite of enterprise tools for storing and managing content with consistently defined and implemented tagging/metadata scheme, publishing, workflows, and security model. Content is consistently maintained up-to-date.
Structure/ Governance	€	applying K to operations No formal accountability or responsibility (A&R) for leveraging the K of the organization at the individual, team, or organization level. KM is not recognized as a strategic asset; The organization strategic plan, if one exists, does not include KM as an objective or a goal No KM Strategy/value proposition exists KM implementation resources (\$, people, time) are limited or non-existen KM is viewed as a cost, not an investment. Organizational Learning is ad hoc, unfocused, and/or has limited support. KM and IT planning is not/may not be linked KM is not part of the organization communication plan	value" of KM acrossthe organization  KM strategy is evident at the unit level with some recognition that strategy has value at the organization level  ARR/KM policy is ad hoc, evolving, reflecting the maturity of the organization's KM efforts, but fragmented	lines of business; clear policies and procedures exist and are followed	strategic plan  • KM Strategy is grounded in the Strategic plan/direction of the organization

## Assessment Definitions v1

Assessmen	nt	Definitions		
People/Culture	ഈ	An integrated pattern of shared beliefs, behaviors, and attitudes (e.g. level of engagement, adaptability to behavior change)) that determines or influences how knowledge is used and moves (use and flow of knowledge) across the organization. Almost the organization's "way of life" when it comes to KM.		
Process		Actions, steps, practices, a course of action that reflects how business is accomplished; relevant to KM its about how knowledge is found, captured, distilled (sense making), adapted (characterized for reuse), transferred, and reused to create organizational value.		
Enabling Technology/ Tools		Applications, platforms, products that support the organization's ability to store and retain knowledge (content); search for, find, access, download, and reuse organization knowledge (content); and collaborate to move knowledge across the organization.		
Content		Codified or explicit knowledge (documents, images, video, audio, etc.)		
Structure/Governance	<b>₹</b>	The organizational structure and leadership approach to developing, implementing, and sustaining KM within an organization (processes, rules, training, dependencies)		